

## **RESIDENTIAL STREET IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM** Macarthur, Fadden, Gowrie

## Impacts of traffic calming devices

To assist your assessment of the proposed concepts, a summary of the positive and negative impacts of the proposed traffic calming devices is tabled below.

Traffic Calming Devices	Advantages	Disadvantages	Cost
"Major" roundabout with Coyne Street realignment	<ul> <li>slows traffic</li> <li>resolves Coyne St geometry issues</li> <li>aids access/egress to/from Coyne St</li> <li>improves intersection safety</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>major expenditure</li> <li>issues related to encroachment into reserve</li> </ul>	High to very high cost
Small roundabout	<ul> <li>slows traffic</li> <li>defines traffic priorities</li> <li>improves pedestrian safety</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>can be visually displeasing</li> <li>can increase traffic difficulties for pedestrians and cyclists</li> </ul>	Moderate to high cost
Pedestrian refuge	<ul> <li>improves pedestrian access and safety</li> <li>can reduce speeds if combined with lane narrowing and/or bus stops</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>may require removal of on street parking to create room</li> </ul>	Low to moderate cost
Speed cushions	<ul> <li>reduce traffic speed</li> <li>improve traffic safety</li> <li>indirectly reduce traffic volume</li> <li>bus friendly – busses straddle a cushion</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>can transfer a problem by diverting traffic to other route(s)</li> </ul>	Low cost
Raised platform	<ul> <li>reduces traffic speeds</li> <li>improves pedestrian safety</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>may increase traffic noise just before and after the platform</li> <li>not as bus friendly as the speed cushions</li> </ul>	Moderate to low cost